



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DÉPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/930,688	08/15/2001	Raymond F. Cracauer	FORS-06497	1594	
23535 7	590 01/15/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP 101 HOWARD STREET SUITE 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			HANDY, DV	VAYNE K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743		

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/930,688	CRACAUER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dwayne K Handy	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply seclified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 ifl apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the annication to become ABANI	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/24	/2003.				
_	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in accordance.	ce except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 3-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 3-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	pted or b)  objected to by the distribution of the distribution of the distribution of the drawing(s) in the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ininor. Note the attached O	file Action of form PTO-192.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of common since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provents and the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since was included in the first sentence of the common since was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the common since as pecific reference was included in the common since as pecific reference was included in the common sinc	have been received. have been received in Applity documents have been received from the certified copies not received from the certified copies not received from the certified copies from the certified copies not received from the certified copies not received from the certified	ication No seived in this National Stage eived. 19(e) (to a provisional application) n or in an Application Data Sheet. received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<li>5) Notice of Inform</li>	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 1743

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 3 and 8-10 were previously rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Den Berg et al. (5,302,347).

Claims 3-5, 9 and 10 were previously are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mullis et al. (5,656,493).

Claims 3, 4, 6 and 10 were previously are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Atwood et al. (5,681,741).

Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mutterer, Jr. et al. (6,258,329) or Hargett, Jr. (6,287,526).

Claims 3, 4, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hayes et al. (6,334,980).

Application/Control Number: 09/930,688 Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

Claims 3, 4, 6, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lipshutz et al. (5,856,174).

These rejections remain in effect. Please see "Response to Arguments" below.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/24/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In attempting to overcome the rejections set forth in the previous action from the Examiner, applicant has amended the claims to further limit the reaction chambers as "nucleic acid synthesis columns" and then argued that the references do not teach this feature. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The term "nucleic acid synthesis column" is a broad limitation in which the reaction chamber is defined by the use of the column. This is intended use and is not given patentable weight by the Examiner. Also, in applicant's specification on page 7 this term is defined as "a container of chamber in which nucleic acid synthesis reactions are carried out". In summary, applicant is basically claiming a container or chamber as the reaction chamber. Therefore, the Examiner believes he has met this limitation with the previously cited references since all of the references cited in the rejections teach containers or chambers in which reactions take place.

Art Unit: 1743

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne K Handy whose telephone number is (571)-272-1259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)-872-9306.

Dkh January 9, 2004

Allwards Jille Vyterden Buper Viylory Protent Exeminar Teromolycy Contact 1780